SurveyMonkey: 16 February 2015 – 1 March 2015

Yes/No	Comment
Yes	"It's a constant issue for residents"
Yes	"I like the idea of a trial period, but I do not think the council should have the ability to extend the order by three years without additional consultation. I presume these areas will be well-signed, etc so people are not caught unaware having a casual social drink in one of these areas and forced to pay a fine by a heavy handed officer"
Yes	"You should add the space in front of the Co-op to that list!"
Yes	"The Anti-social street drinking seriously detracts from the area and is intimidating, especially towards women and those with children"
No	"The proposed order is illiberal in that it targets all drinking regardless of whether there is anything "anti-social" about it"
No	"There is a constant attack on street drinkers. They are part of our community and bring positive as well as negative things to our community"
No	"What a biased, pathetic, sham of a survey. Any questions that aren't loaded? My evidence is of 15 years walking down mill road without any trouble with drunks. Your puritanical, pathetic, heavy handed approach is indicative of completely failing to grasp the mature of the alcohol problems we actually do have in Cambridge. I lament of an electorate who voted you morons in"
No	"None. However, it does happen further along mill road (the public space at the end of Cavendish Road), The park off the back of the great eastern street car park, and of course, outside coop. Any restriction will just further concentrate the problem in these areas"
No	"I think efforts need to be made to find ways of helping people with alcohol problems overcome them. Sending them elsewhere (as this is likely to do) does nothing to address that. It could easily criminalise people drinking socially"
No	"If the people who the Order would be aimed at are verbally aggressive or physically aggressive then it may be a problem. But I know that some of these people who are drinking in public places around here are people who live/have lived in the area and are drinking as a coping mechanism to deal with losing their job, marriage break-ups, having their homes repossessed etc. Regardless of who they are and why they are drinking, they need help - not criminal punishment. In any case, they can be very useful to police in helping with tackling real crime - although drinking, they observe a lot

	throughout the day and can help with informing police of unusual activity. In fact, their drinking in public spaces helps prevent real crime and would-be gangs from taking over these very public spaces. Although their chatter can sometimes be a little loud, I do feel reassured to see them - as it suggests all is well and nothing untoward going on. My advice would be that the police should actually patrol the areas from time to time and befriend the drinkers, as it will help tackle crime"
No	"location related orders only drive the problem elsewhere"
No	"this will only move the problem and will not solve it. Introduce a city wide ban. Or be prepared to chase the problem"
No	"I witnessed aggressive behaviour - mostly loud arguments and shouting - in these areas which I found unpleasant and sometimes made be feel threatened. But mostly people drinking were not threatening or unpleasant. On a practical level, the Protection Order is likely just to move the drinkers into other areas eg further down Mill Rd, onto Tenison Rd green, Parkers Piece etc- more people concentrated into smaller areas is likely to cause more problems. I'd rather see enforcement focussed on tackling aggressive behaviour not drinking"
Yes	"Drinking in Mill Road Cemetery, particularly in the late evening deters me from using the footpath between Mill Road & Norfolk Street after dark even on a bicycle. I often hear loud brawls at night. The number of empty cans attests to the problem. The problem increases in the summer months when some people choose to sleep over in the Cemetery. I know many of them and they are not homeless but just prefer the companionship that street life affords; others are drawn temporarily from elsewhere because the students here are more generous with handouts than elsewhere. Whether or not the sporadic vandalism of graves is due to alcohol or drugs I do not know, but I strongly suspect that one or is a contributing factor. Petersfield Green can be unpleasant when used by groups sitting on the grass at the north side consuming large amounts of alcohol, which alas impacts on the right of children to enjoy this play space free from any perceived threat"
No	"(1) The police already have powers to arrest and deal with anti-social troublemakers. No need for this PSPO. (2) The PSPO is unfair because it will punish the majority for the actions of a minority. Why shouldn't responsible non-troublemakers be allowed to enjoy an outdoor drink in these places?"
No	"This is bad law the punish the some of the most vulnerable members of our community. I have lived on Mill Road for 8 years now and constantly go up and down it at all times of day and night. I can honestly say I have never had any problems with those individuals which this law is aimed. I can only assume it is targeted at them to change Mill Road from a vibrant community in which ALL individuals are welcome to a bland middle class enclave where only those who get drunk in pubs (and then subsequently have to walk home) are welcome"

No	"Street drinking is a problem in many places, and this favours only one place with attention"
Yes	"Most of the those who cause disturbance/ annoyance by drinking in these areas are homeless people. So, it is a deeper social problem"
Yes	"Yes, often see people passed out in mill road cemetery, or congregating in mill road cemetery which can be very distressing for people walking through"
No	"This is a cynical attack on vulnerable people in society, who should be offered help, not prosecution"
No	"I support the order for Mill Road cemetery and Ditchburn Place, but not for Petersfield Green. Drinkers in Petersfield Green tend to congregate away from the main path and play area, and are less likely to cause a problem for other park users. If the order was introduced they would likely move to either the area outside the swimming pool or Parker's Piece, which would result in increased conflict with other users"
Yes	"Do not want to see my children playing in the garden and drunk people next to them"
Yes	"have seen people who are drinking from cans / bottles in each of these areas; they have then made comments or nuisance to people in the area. I am concerned that this measure will move the problem though to areas such as the St Matthew St car park etc so other steps need also need to be taken"
Yes	"I can hear shouting and foul language from my garden, mainly in the summer. The garden backs onto the Cemetery avenue. I have often seen people in poor state of drunkenness on Mill road. Does this Order apply to Mill Road? I can't imagine a fine is an appropriate deterrent. These people do not have any money. Does the police have enough officers to patrol these areas? Unless the police makes an appearance on a regular basis there will be no change"
Yes	"Discarded syringes, threatening behaviour and early morning drinking are comment and evidence enough I think! (also, have you even tried to get into A&E lately!)"
Yes	"Playing with my children in Petersfield we have found discarded beer bottles, sleeping bags and witnessed public urination and defeacation, lewd, rowdy, drunken behaviour, out of control dogs"
Yes	"A work colleague who travels by train from Downham Market each day then cycles from Cambridge Railway Station to Cambridge Business Park via Tenison Road, Mill Road, East Road tells me that when they cross Petersfield Green on the corner of Mill Road and East Road around 9am

Yes	"I think the problems that happen each summer in Petersfield should be sorted out"
Yes	"Street drinking has been a growing problem over the past 10 years. This can be intimidating even for a healthy adult and creates a bad atmosphere that is not conducive either to the residents or shoppers"
No	"First on the consultation process. Little publicity has been given betond posting this document on line. Second, the document and consultation is entirely leading in relation to the problems associated with these areas and are clearly designed to provide a positive outcome in support of PSPO's. We are only being given an opportunity to support the contention that street drinking is a problem. You do not prompt or consult in anyway as to whether a PSPO is an appropriate tool to tackel the issues of street drinking, and nor is any substantial objective evidence provided that this needs a long term imposition of an order that will lead to further criminalisation of vunerable members of our community whose support networks have been subject to increased financial pressure and cuts. Yes we need to do respond to the issues relating to street drinking but the PSPO ensures that this becomes a policing rather than a social issue. These orders are too draconian in impact and effect, and will fail to deal with root cause"
Yes	"Constant observation of drinking in Ditchburn Place, with associated aggressive behaviour which is intimidating to all but must be especially frightening to the residents"
No	"It's a minor nuisance which will only be displaced to another area"
Yes	"The bench in Petersfield Green is used by alcohol consumers from early morning until night. Other drinkers are occupying the porches of Petersfield flats until about 11pm. Some have dogs which roam off lead on the Green & in some cases frighten pedestrians"
Yes	"There were two men sitting on a bench on the East Road side of Petersfield Green drinking. They had a black dog which was not on a lead and which ran over to me as I was crossing the green on the diagonal path to the corner of East Road and Mill Road. One of them shouted "he's friendly""
Yes	"Street drinkers in Ditchburn Gardens are causing ingress and egress to and from the site to be inhibited by the locking of garden gates. This affects the integration of the Independent Living Scheme and prevents the community from enjoying the open space provided, at a time when available open space is being cut back by development"
	more often than not there are people sitting on the benches or hanging around at various points drinking alcohol . I sometimes see this in the evening 6pm to 7pm"

Yes	"While I support this order, it's relatively easy to enact punitive measures against often homeless drunks in public spaces. The real problem in the neighbourhood is the late night drunken noise on the street coming mostly from students. They are usually heading toward Mill Road, where a number of shops primarily exist to cater to these late night drunks. Mill Road itself needs to be cleaned up if you really want to tackle anti social street drinking"
Yes	"They are only as good as the enforcement being done! Like collecting fines does that work?"
Yes	"Walking in the cemetary with my young children, and having a garden which backs on to the cemetary, we have been perturbed and disturbed by people seemingly affected by alchol and acting in an anti social manner. I hope that these occurrences might be less with the PSPO"
Yes	"I have witnessed such drinking and the resulting anti social behaviour regularly on all three sites and have at times been intimidated by the groups that gather, particularly in Mill Road Cemetery but again, on all three sites. I have also found the resulting litter offensive"
Yes	"The anti-social drinking in the front gardens at Ditchburn Place prohibits the enjoyment of the garden for others, including those living on the site who may be elderly and vulnerable"
No	"They aren't anti-social. Other people are just unnecessarily scared of them"
Yes	"Petersfield Green and the Mill Road Cemetery are widely used by families and street drinking causes distress"